GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

P. 26 E-K

1909/10
(Gen : Fin)

File No. 37-09

Serial Nos. 141, 171, 182 & 23.

Papers Filed.

Month January 1910.

SUBJECT.

In reply to a petition from Mr. T. Srinivasa Rao, Inamdar of Tirunally village, Hunsur Tk. praying that his share of the Tirunelly village may not be taken under Government management in connection with the loan sanctioned to Bakshi Tippiah's family and that it may be left to be managed by himself informing him that if he effects a partition and gives a list of the survey numbers comprising his sharge, it will be practicable to consider his request.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

R. 7. 165 of 1893-4
Fin. Oct. 09 Sl. 5 & 10. G. O. 37-09
B. Dec. 09 Sl. 4, 7, 8, 12, 13, 19-22
G. O. 1-09

LATER REFERENCES.

B. June 13 Sl. 14, 16, 17 & 35 G. O. 7286-10.
G. O. 6-16 Sl. 3-5, 7 & 1 Dec. 16.
G. O. Dep. 136/32
137/3

G.R.S.11]

190 9/10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Gen: Fin)

NOTES.

File No. 37-09

FILE HEADING.

Loan granted to Bhakshi Thippaya's family

From

The Deputy Commr, Mysore

No. 263. Dated 8/9.10.09 Received 11.10.09

Diary No. 1584 | Enclos. — | Spare Copies — | Serial No. 14

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States, with reference to para 4 of G.O. no. FR. 422-30/G.F. 302-08 of 26.8.09, that the Inam village of Linnalli in the Hunsur Tq, has been taken over under Govt management

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First sheet.

GPB—30,000—3-4-07.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 37 of 190 9

34 (12)

Serial No.

Draft

Sd/- 14.5 18.11.9

Submitted:
K.S.
19.11.09.

Petition dt 19-11-09 no 71 1978 from T. Srinivas a Rao of Tirumelly stating that it is unfair to the other sharers to that govt should have assumed management of the whole Tirumelly village, simply because there is loan due to govt by one of the sharers (Sammoo Rao's family) is still undischarged, ~~and that~~ and that he learns govt intend giving a further loan to Sammoo Rao's family and as this affects him as a co-sharer, he prays that either he may be placed in the management of the village or the respective shares be divided and that relating to the Sammoo Rao's family alone taken under govt management.

I invite attention is invited to the endorsement given to ~~Sec~~ the petition. He was told that it is reported

Sd 10.
in 9.7.3?.09
SS 8.20
filed 9.7.09

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

the loan borrowed by him has been repaid in full with interest, which is a matter which is still under correspondence and before it is proved that the whole loan has been paid, the question of restoration of his share of the village does not arise for consideration. After the petitioner's loan is discharged, the Govt will be the mortgagee of only one-third of the village and the arrangement of their managing the whole village is not agreed to by the owner of another third of the village, namely, the petitioner. If the shares of the different branches had been separately marked out, there would have been no need for Govt to have assumed the management of the entire village & they could have taken under their control only the one third share mortgaged to them by the branch of the family to which a further loan of Rs 8000 is now sanctioned.

4 As regards the prayer of the petitioner, there are only two courses open:-

(1) The Govt may manage

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

97 File No. 37 of 190 9

38

Serial No.

Altogether it seems best to require Venkatarama & others of the third branch of the family to get their share of the Tirunelli village separated from the rest & place that share under govt management

Jmuli
19-11-9

First Secy

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

G 2 File No. 37 of 1909

Serial No.	
	First Secy Dewan 16/12/09
5	I consider the second course referred to in Asst Secy's note of 19.11.09 to be more preferable —
Page 37	Dewan) 3592 18.12.09
	I think so too — Asst 17.12.9
	There are some practical difficulties in the way of a division and apportionment of shares — This file already complicated need not be further complicated by partition proceedings — Pl. Pr. Tsing Ayar may be informed that if he effects a partition and puts us a list of the Sing. Ayar comprising his share it will be practicable to consider his request. Meanwhile we can deal with the settlement of accounts by extricating them from the ~~complications~~ confusion commented upon in G O No 622 & the Pl. Pr. Tsing should proceed apace
	22/12

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

S 1584

Hamil 190 11 13 Sep 7

(14)

Sau Fin 37/09

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To J. S. Chakravarti Esq M.A. 7 R.A.S
Financial Secretary
to Govt of Mysore

Dated 8th

Despatched 9th October 1909

Received

General No. 263
No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that the Huneur Amildar reports that Inane Irinelli village was taken under Government management.

No. 263
Enc. No.

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner,

MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore/Camp 5th October, 190[illegible]

To

J.S. Chakravarti, Esq., [illegible],

SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT

OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Financial ~~GENERAL AND REVENUE~~ DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

Adverting to the concluding portion of [illegible] G.O. No. [illegible] 4[illegible] [illegible] 702-[illegible] dated the 5th August 190[illegible], I have the honor to state that the Amildar of the Hunsur Taluk reports that the Inna Kirnahalli village secured to Government for the loan granted to [illegible] Hosamane [illegible] of Thimmaish's family has taken under Government management and that the fact is duly proclaimed by beat on Tom Tom in village on the 21st September 190[illegible].

It is also reported that no attempt has been made to the agent to produce the tenants of the village.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Subbaraya

for Offg. Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

In personne 13 & 14 (Let me see the file) 61889

9-11-9

(17) 8.237-00 ~~302-08~~ ~~sl 4~~

Before the Government of Mysore
at Bangalore.

The applicant begs to state as follows:-

The applicant & his brother Mr Kesaviah the head quarter-Inspector of Mysore, are the 1st branch & are the owners of the first ⅓ share of the village Hirimally in Hunsoor Taluk, the owners of the second ⅓ share being Venkatasubbarow & others, belonging to 2d branch & the owners of the third ⅓ share being Sannakow & others belonging to the 3d branch of the family of the late Anche-Bhukshu Teppiah. This third branch being at present in debt to the Govt. to which debt their ⅓ share having been mortgaged to the Govt., the Govt. in their proceedings

J 422-30 / Gen. Fin 302-108 dt 15. 7. 09 have ordered that the village should be taken under Govt. Management. This order is very prejudicial to the interests of the 1st branch & this has been passed on the representations of only the 2d & 3d branches without giving notice & opportunity to the Petr. to show cause against this; and so the petitioner begs at present to show cause against this order as follows: This order is wholly based on the assumption that the 1st branch agreed in their deed of

Mortgage which they had executed to government to secure a loan, to give possession of the Village to the Govt. But the fact is not so; they never agreed to do so unconditionally. The history of these loan transactions shortly is this.

On the applications of the 2d & 3d branches to the Govt. for loans, the Govt. passed the procedings of 6.6.93 no 317-21. In these procedings the Govt. say among other things "that the petitioners agreed that in default of payment towards the debt of any instalment, they would give possession of the Village to the Govt. & that the loans should be granted to the several owners of ~~their~~ the Village separately on the separate security of their separate share & on the separate execution of the debt."

After the passing of these procedings, the first borrowers on the security of a share of Jerusally village were the petitioner & his brother of the 1st branch. They borrowed from Govt Rs 3000 in 93-94 on the mortgage of their share in that village & they agreed in the deed of mortgage, that in default of payment of any yearly instalment of Rs 300, they would give possession of the Village to Govt. & they never made any default & the Village therefore has along remained in their possession only. They completely discharged the debt by the end of year 1904. The Deputy Commissioner ~~seems~~ no doubt shows still some balance against them. But this is evidently a mistake as per Comptroller's statement. The mistake is caused by mixing the debt of the petitioner & the bigger debt of the 3d branch & by

Crediting towards this mixed whole the payments made by the petitioner towards his own debt.

In 1896-97 the 3d branch the holders of the 3d share of the village borrowed from Govt. Rs 12000 & odd mortgaging absolutely their ⅓ share of the village with possession. But the Govt. never actually took possession as per stipulation, their debtor's share or the entire village. If they had done so, the petitioner would have taken objection then only. These are the facts about the consent of the owners of the Jeremelly village to Govt's assuming the management of the village. These true facts ought to have been placed for the consideration of the Govt. when they made the proceedings of July last mentioned above. So it is not in the humble opinion of the petitioner fair to take the contingent ~~cons~~ agreement of the petitioner about Govt's assuming the management as his absolute consent.

The owners of 2d & 3d shares are the absentee landlords & they do not much depend upon the income of the village: on the other hand the petitioner is the resident land lord wholly depending on the income of the village. So it is injurious to his interest if the Govt assumes the management. It is also beneficial to the ryots, if the land lord himself manages the village residing in it. Because they can readily & easily transact their business, & have their real grievances ~~redressed~~ redressed. Moreover, the village is a small one yielding only a net income of Rs 390 per share & the village requires the economical management ~~of the~~ by the owner himself. If

If the Govt please to consent, the petitioner will undertake under proper agreement to discharge the debt owing by the owners of the 3d share out of their share income.

The best arrangement under these complicated circumstances seems to be the partition of the village into 3 shares & the Govt assuming the management of the 3d share which falls to the share of their debtors. The Govt have authority to do this; because the petitioner & his brother the owners of the $\frac{1}{3}$ share consent to the partition. The 3d branch the debtors to the Govt. shd also consent or they may be insisted on by Govt to consent & thus on the consent of the owners of the $\frac{2}{3}$ shares of the village the Govt may divide the village. This arrangement is best in the humble opinion of the petitioner for the liquidation of the Govt debt & for the future enjoyment of the owners of the village. As this will completely remove the cause for all future quarrels & for all complaints of mismanagement by one against another, all of they are honest & prudent shd consent to the partition.

So the petitioner begs most humbly that the Govt. may graciously reconsider their order of July mentioned above on the true facts mentioned above ~~above~~ & reverse the said order about Govt's assuming the management of the village.

9th Nov/09

T. Sreenivasa Iyengar
Jnamdar.

13 ಅನುಬಂಧ

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಬಂಗಾರಪ್ಪ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ರವರಿಗೆ

ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳವರಿಗೆ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರಗಳು ಅರ್ಥ
ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಸಿ ಕೆಳಜಾತಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಮೀಸಲಿಟ್ಟ
ಮೂಲ ಸೌಕರ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ
1/3 ಅನುದಾನವನ್ನು ಸಿ.ಎಸ್.ಪಿ. ಮೂಲಕ
ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆ ನಂ. ಸಿ.ಡಿ.ಎ.ಎಸ್.
ಎಸ್.ಸಿ.ಪಿ.ಟಿ.ಪಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ದಿನಾಂಕ 16-3-94ನ್ನು
ಸಂ. 248/105 ನ್ನು ಕೂಲಂಕುಷವಾಗಿ ಅಭ್ಯಸಿಸಿದೆ.
ಆದರೆ ಈ ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂ. 6-ರ ನಿಯಮ
ಮಾತ್ರ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದು ಉಳಿದ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರ
ಹಾಗೂ ಎಸ್.ಸಿ ಸಮುದಾಯದ ಹಿತಕ್ಕೆ ವಿರುದ್ಧವಾಗಿದೆ
ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆ ಸಂ. 5-ರ ಅನ್ವಯ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ
ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿಕೆ.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

9.11.09. J. [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 19 [illegible]

94 [illegible]

[illegible] (3000) [illegible] (5 per cent) [illegible] 317, 21, 893 [illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
93.94	31.5.94	129	100--	95.96	27.2.96	[illegible]	100--
[illegible]	29.6.94	31	50--	[illegible]	27.3.96	31	50--
				[illegible]	14.4.96	1	50--
				[illegible]	2-5.96	1	50--
1	[illegible]	2	150--	[illegible]	25.5.96	33	45--
				[illegible]	18.6.96	1	12-8-
94-95	28-2-95	2	100--	3	[illegible]	6	307-8--
[illegible]	2-3.95	3	47.1.4	96.97	27.2.97	27	96-12-
[illegible]	6.5.95	10	1419.4	[illegible]	12-9.97	15	60--
[illegible]	23.5.95	1	50.-	[illegible]	13.4.97	5	70+6-
				[illegible]	27.4.97	9	80--
2	[illegible]	4	338,10,8	4	[illegible]	4	307-2-

[illegible] 1103, 4-8

97.98 [illegible] 3 [illegible] 1 [illegible] 2 [illegible]

97.98	394.98	137	100--	00-1	5,10..	1	30--
[illegible]	7.5.98	1	218-8-8	[illegible]	28.2-1	82	28-4-
				[illegible]	14-3-1	2	31-12-
5	[illegible]	2	318,8,8	[illegible]	9-4-1	3	53,6-
98.99	31-3.99	58	100..	[illegible]	9,4-1	4	111-10-
[illegible]	31.3.99	66	100--	[illegible]	20-5-1	1	45--
[illegible]	30.6.99	97	110--	8	[illegible]	6	300--
6	[illegible]	3	310--	1-2	16.5.2	9	20-8-
99-90	28-2-00	107	75--	[illegible]	31,5-2	102	58-8-
[illegible]	11.4-99	17	75--	[illegible]	30,6-2	96	45--
[illegible]	4-5-99	1	75--	[illegible]	9-7-2	9	225-8-
[illegible]	2-6--	43	50--	9.	[illegible]	4	349-8-
[illegible]	21-6--	11	25--				
7	[illegible]	5	300--				

7	7.8.5	2	33.3.3.
D	15.3.4	15	78-4-.
D	20-5-4	4	25-.-.
D.	31.5.4	31	25-.-.
D	4-6-4	33	25-.-.
D	27-6-4	30	100-.-.
11	[illegible]	6	286-7-3
4-5.	14-3-5	5	85.7-9.
D	16-5-5.	2	25-.-.
D	8-6-5	11	25-.-.
D	18-5-5	2	25-.-.
D	31.5.5.	22	25-.-.
D	28-6-5	36	52-4-.
D	29-6-5	75	100-.-.
12	[illegible]	7	337.11.9.
5.6. 13.	Nil		

D	11.5.7	6	50-.-.
D	23-4-7	11	25-.-.
D	26-4-7	1	25-.-.
D	7.5-7	6	25-.-.
D	9.5.7	14	25-.-.
D	18.5-7	16	25-.-.
D	28.5-7	18	25-.-.
D	16-7.	19	25-.-.
D	11.6-7	6	25-.-.
D	13.6.7	2	8-1-.
D	9.6.7	18	25-.-.
D	26-6-7	16	50-.-.
D	27.6.7.	21	49-12-
D	29.6.7	75	58-.-.
14	[illegible]	19	775.9.0.

7.8.	25.2.8	12	25-.-.
D	26-2-8	29	25.0-.
D	28.2.8	42	25-.-.
D	29-2-8	76	12-.-.
D	20.6.08	46	8-12-
15	[illegible]	5.	95-12

1103.4-8

[illegible]

1.	[illegible]	10.	[illegible]
2	[illegible]	11	[illegible]
3	[illegible]	12	[illegible]
4	[illegible]	13	
5	[illegible]	14	[illegible]
6	[illegible]	15.	[illegible]
7.	[illegible]		

1103.4-8 4.

3309-8-8, [illegible]

3309-8-8, [illegible]

9.11.909

J Seenivadan

[illegible]

[illegible]

19.10.09 నే సం. 58 నే నం. [illegible]
[illegible] 22-10-09 నే [illegible]
[illegible] 09-10 నే [illegible]
సంవత్సరాల్లో

1333-8-0 సకేశి నం. [illegible] 350
[illegible] 963-[illegible]
79-8-0 [illegible]
480 [illegible]
31-8-0 [illegible]
79-8-0 [illegible]

1254-0-0 [illegible]
[illegible]
75-0-0 [illegible]
17-12-0 [illegible]
6-0-0 [illegible]
1-8-0 [illegible]
1-4-3 [illegible]
1355.8-3 [illegible]
[illegible] 3 నే సం. [illegible]
451-13-9

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1, 8, 09.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

నా భార్య, నన్ను ఆం(బి). వెంకటేశ్వరరావు
నా భార్యకి [illegible] నేను [illegible]
నివసిస్తున్నాము తరువాత వివాహము యిష్టము
లేనందున నేను [illegible] కాపురానికి వెళ్ళి
నప్పటికి నేను తిరిగి వచ్చేసరికి
26-2-09 రోజు రాత్రి నా భార్య [illegible]
తల్లి, నాన్న నన్ను, నా సంసారాన్ని
నాశనం చేయాలని నా భార్య [illegible]
నా పై [illegible] నా భార్య [illegible]
నాపై [illegible] నా సంసారాన్ని
నాశనం చేయాలని [illegible]
తల్లి సరకారు [illegible] తెలియ పరుచుచున్నాను.

[illegible]
[illegible] Venkateswarao
[illegible] Premilarao

27.05.2009 న నేను [illegible] నా
[illegible] 2005 నుండి
[illegible] నివసిస్తున్నాము.
15.9.09 న నా భార్య నన్ను, నా కుటుంబ
సభ్యులను [illegible] సమస్యలు [illegible]

[illegible] నా [illegible]
[illegible] నా [illegible]
[illegible] నా [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 4-7-96

ನಂಬರ್ 115 ನೆ ನಂಬರ್ ಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ

[illegible] 174 [illegible]

[illegible]

14-9-96

[illegible]

[illegible] ನಂಬರ್ [illegible]

3 ನೆ ಅಂಕಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದಂತೆ [illegible]

[illegible]

ನೆಲ ಕಂದಾಯ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಣೆ [illegible]

ಸಾಲಿ. 96. 97. 97. 98. 98. 99.

[illegible] ಸಂಗ್ರಹಣೆ [illegible]

ಸಂಗ್ರಹಣೆಯಾಗಿ, 1986-87 ನೆ ಸಾಲಿನಿಂದ [illegible]

[illegible] 99 ನೆ ಇ. ಸಾಲಿನ ಅವಧಿಗೆ [illegible]

[illegible] 3 ನೆ ಅಂಕಣದಲ್ಲಿ

108-7-4 [illegible]

[illegible] 9.11.09.7. [illegible]

3rd
Y.

[illegible]

1	97, 98,	[illegible]	Nil.
2	98-99	[illegible]	[illegible]
3	99-100	[illegible]	Nil
4	00-1	[illegible]	[illegible]
5.	1-2	[illegible]	Nil.
6	2-3.	[illegible]	Nil.
7	3-4	[illegible]	[illegible]

[illegible]

8.	4-5,	[illegible]	
9.	5, 6,	[illegible]	
10.	6-7	[illegible]	

[illegible]

100-0-0 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

5478

(18)

To Final Bd

Before the Government of Mysore
at Bangalore.

The applicant :- Sreenivasa Row the owner of the ⅓ share in the Sarvamanya Village of Tirumlly in Hunsur taluk.

The applicant begs to state as follows:-

The applicant has submitted a petition to the Govt on the 9th inst. praying against the assumption by Govt. of the management of the whole of the above village including the applicant's share, to recover the debt due by the owners of another ⅓ share. The debtors who has applied to the Govt. to assume the management, has no interest in the said village, the ⅓ share mortgaged to Govt. being owned by some ten other persons. Another applicant who has consented to the assumption is the owner of the only ⅙ share + the mortgagee of another ⅙ share.

The applicant has shown in his said previous ~~application~~ petition that the assumption by the Govt of the possession of the whole village

including his share upon ~~the~~ him (the applicant) & that it is not just to deprive him of the possession and enjoyment of his share for the benefit of others.

Now the applicant learns that the said debtors have again applied for a further loan of 8000 Rs in order to liquidate ~~a subsequent~~ a subsequent bank debt & that the Deputy commr. has recommended to the Govt. the said fresh loan. & so the applicant has again to put in this petition which he begs may be considered in continuation & together with the previous petition which is not yet disposed of.

The previous debt due by these persons who have applied for fresh debt still remains unliquidated. & the property mortgaged is owned & possessed jointly by several persons. Under these circumstances a private money lender would not lend a fresh loan unless & until the debtors get their share divided from others shares & be able to put in possession of the creditor their own share freed from others joint claim & enjoyment

The applicant has put in the said previous petition praying for the reconsideration of the order ~~by~~ passed by Govt. ex parte, to assume the management of the village including the ~~fa~~ applicant's share. He has also humbly suggested in that petition the best arrangements

by which the Govt. can have its debt paid without affecting the applicant's interests; the arrangements being these:- that the Govt. may compel the debtors to have their share divided from those of others & to place it in possession of Govt free from others joint enjoyment. or, that if the Govt be pleased to continue him (the applicant) as the manager of the whole village, he will continue to pay the income of the debtors to the Govt in discharge of their debt. Detailed survey has been introduced to the Village. So the Govt. may deal with their debtors share in more ways than one without affecting others shares

Now the bank creditors for the discharge of whose debt the fresh loan is applied for, have brought the said share of the debtors to sale in civil court. If the Govt do not undertake to discharge the said debt, the bank or some other person would purchase the property subject to the prior ~~debt~~ mortgage towards Govt, pay up the said debt due to the Govt, & by civil suit will have their share divided & thus the question will be done to all parties concerned as the Govt's debt would be discharged without the Govt's being obliged to deprive the applicant of his share of the village & the debtors will be compelled to pay up their just debt. On the other hand if ~~they~~ the Govt wishes to benefit the said debtors

by relieving them of the loss of their property by undertaking to discharge their subsequent debt, it may do so in the very not injurious to the applicant, viz: by compelling the said debtors to have their share divided from that of the applicant.

The petitioner humbly begs that the Govt may be pleased to consider the facts represented in this petition & also in the petition of the 9th Inst. reconsider the order made in the proceedings of the July last in the absence & without notice to the ~~petitioner~~ applicant, be pleased to order not to deprive the applicant of ~~his share~~ the possession & enjoyment of his share of the village; the applicant also begs that the Govt may be pleased to order pending the disposal of these petitions of the applicant that the District & taluk authorities may stay proceedings ~~in~~ assuming the management of the village.

J. Seenivasa
Gramdar 1st share.

19th Nov/09

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
350	963.16	1333.8.
[illegible]		
23.	68.16.	79.8.
[illegible]		
327	895.0.	1254-0-
[illegible]	418-0-0	

J. Seenivasa 19.11.09

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 37
Serial No. 23.

12/1/10

Diary No. of letter replied to 1978

No. H 3471
21-1-1910

General and Revenue Secretariat
(97)
, the 190

To
Inamdar
Mr. T. Srinivas Rao of Tirumally
Husur Taluk

Date of issue 21-1-1910.

Signed by W. M. Krishnaiah

with reference to his petition dt 19/November 1909, ~~stating that it is unfair to the other shareholders that Govt should assume the management of the whole Tirumally village on the score that the loan due to Govt by one of the shareholders of the Inam village (Samoo Rao family) is still undischarged~~ and praying that he may be either placed in management of the village or the village be divided among the other co-owners ~~their respective shares be allotted~~ the share belonging ~~and that relating to Samoo Rao~~

over.

praying that his share of the Tirumally village may not be taken up for management by Govt in connexion with the further loan of Rs 5000 sanctioned to the third branch of the Berkshi Thippias family that he may be left to be managed by himself

SUBJECT

be done by
~~Jointly taken under for~~
Management. The petitioner
he is informed
that if he effects a partition
and gives a list of the Survey
numbers ~~to in the Jointly~~
~~village~~ comprising
his share, it will be
practicable to consider
his request

By order

12-1-10
for Secretary

10/1/10.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—20-4-06.